RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

2018 HAR -8 AH 10: PEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION 4 **GELM**itter of 5 6 **MUR 6237** 7 Stouffer for Congress and 8 Larry Rohrbach, as Treasurer CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE 9 Missouri State Senator Bill Stouffer **ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY** State of Missouri 10 SYSTEM Aaron Baker 11 12 Heidi Kolkmeyer 13 Recce & Nichols Gaslight Real Estate 14 15 16 **GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT** 17 18 Under the Enforcement Priority System, matters that are low-rated 19 20 are forwarded to the Commission with a recommendation for dismissal. The 21 Commission has determined that pursuing low-rated matters, compared to other 22 higher-rated matters on the Enforcement docket, warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial 23 discretion to dismiss these cases. The Office of General Counsel scored MUR 6237 as a 24 low-rated matter. 25 In this matter, the complainant, Chris Brockway, alleges five violations of the 26 Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), and the Commission's 27 regulations, by Missouri State Senator Bill Stouffer, Stouffer for Congress and Larry 28 Rohrbach, in his official capacity as treasurer (collectively "SPC"), and the Friends of 29 Bill Stouffer ("FOBS") (Senator's Stouffer's state campaign committee). The **30** complainant also identifies Aaron Baker and Heidi Kolkmeyer (two of Senator Stouffer's 31 state senate staff employees) and Reece & Nichols Gaslight Real Estate as respondents.

19

20

to travel to as a State Senator."

1 The complainant initially states that Senator Stouffer used funds from FOBS to 2 pay for polling expenses regarding a potential run for federal office. In response, the 3 SFC indicates that Senator "Stouffer was contemplating running for a state-wide 4 Missouri office at some point in the future or for Congress in 2010." Thus, the state-level 5 "aspects of the consulting and polling were paid for by POBS" and, because SPC had not 6 been created at the time of the poll. "Stouffer personally paid Axiom (a political consulting firm) for the federal portion of the consulting and polling." In response, SPC 7 admits that Senator Stouffer's payment should have been reported by SFC as an in-kind 8 9 contribution. A review of SFC's disclosure reports reveals that the committee's October 10 2009 Quarterly Report was amended, on December 29, 2009, to reflect the in-kind contribution.2 11 12 The second allegation in the complaint concerns a purchase made by POBS of a 13 Garmin GPS device for Senator Stouffer's use in order to assist his federal committee, 14 SPC. In its response, the SPC directly refutes this allegation, indicating that "FOBS has 15 never made any expenditures in support of Stouffer's campaign for Congress." 16 Furthermore, the response indicates that Senator Stouffer's district is made up of over eight counties and that the candidate "is frequently required to travel throughout the 17 18 entire state in his role" on various committees. Thus, SFC claims that the GPS device

"was purchased by FOBS to help Senator Stouffer find the various meeting places he has

A copy of the personal check from Senator Stouffer to Axiom was provided with the response.

² The filing, on December 29, 2009, of the amended October 2009 Quarterly Report coincides with the date the SPC filed its response to the complaint in this matter.

Another allegation made in the complaint provides that FOBS paid for Senator
Stouffer's registration for the Republican Women's Conference that Senator Stouffer
attended. The response submitted by SFC indicates that FOBS paid for the registration,
which the committee claims is a permissible expenditure under Missouri regulations, but
Senator Stouffer did not attend the conference and the "expenditure was not related to
any campaign for federal elective office."

The complaint also alleges that two of Senator Stouffer's state senate staffers,

Aaron Baker and Heidi Kolkmeyer, are state employees working for Stouffer's federal
campaign "during normal working hours." The SPC concedes that Ms. Baker and

Ms. Kolkmeyer are "supporting Stouffer in his bid to become a U.S. Congressman," but
asserts that both staffers have worked on the federal campaign as volunteers on their own
time.³

Finally, the complaint alleges that Heidi Kolkmeyer is scheduling his fundraising appointments from a phone registered to Reece & Nichols Gaslight Real Estate.

Specifically, the complaint states that the phone calls are "an in-kind contribution from a corporation, and it is illegal." According to the SFC, the phone number cited in the complaint actually has belonged to Ms. Kolkmeyer for five years, and not the real estate agency as alleged by the complainant.

In light of the remedial action taken by Stouffer for Congress with respect to reporting an in-kind contribution from the candidate, coupled with the speculative nature of the remaining allegations, and in furtherance of the Commission's priorities and

³ An advisory opinion by the Missouri State Ethics Commission, attached to the response of the State of Missouri, "opines that political activity is allowed by legislative staffers if it is not performed on 'state time'."

1	resources relative to other matters pending on the Enforcement docket, the Office of		
2	General Counsel believes that the Commission should exercise its prosecutorial		
3	discretion and dismiss the matter. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).		
4	RECOMMENDATIONS		
5	The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss		
6	MUR 6237, close the file, and approve the appropriate letters.		
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	General Conditions of the second complaints of	Baker nsel Examination ministration Attorney Examination ministration	
31 32 33 34	2 3		